

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: ADAMS, William M.

SERIAL NO: 09/991381

FILED: 10/22/2001

§ EXAMINER KOPPIKAR, VD

§ GROUP ART UNIT: 3626

§ DOCKET: T8469833US

§

FOR: ADJUDICATING PHARMACEUTICAL DRUG

SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND METHODS

§

INTERVIEW SUMMARY and
RESPONSE TO APRIL 19, 2007 NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Dear Commissioner:

This communication is filed in response to the Office Action mailed on **April 19, 2007** and in view of the telephonic interview between the examiner, applicant and his representatives on June 18, 2007 for the above-noted application.

Interview Summary

Applicant thanks the examiner for the helpful telephonic interview of June 18, 2007. During the interview, applicant primarily discussed the independent claims and chiefly claim 1 in terms of how it avoids the prior art and allegations put forth in the outstanding office action. Applicant reviewed the examiner's main citations of McEvoy, Myers and Cunningham. The principal arguments presented are repeated herein below.

No amendments were presented by applicant for discussion. At the conclusion, the examiner requested applicant to present its arguments and any desired amendments in response to the office action.

A listing of the **Claims** begins on page 2 and the **Remarks/Arguments** on page 9.